

New Hampshire School District Technology Survey 2005-06					
Summary Report					
This survey was administered as an online survey between 12/15/05 and 2/28/06. Requests to complete the survey were sent to all district technology coordinators as well as federal technology grant project managers in New Hampshire. A total of 135 out of 163 (83%) district surveys were received and analyzed to create this report. For questions about this report: Contact Cathy Higgins at the NHDOE Office of Educational Technology Email: <u>chiggins@ed.state.nh.us</u> or Phone: 603-271-2453					
General					
1. District Name:		135 districts responding			
2. Survey Contact Person if we have qu	estions:	n/a			
3. Email of Contact Person:		n/a			
4. District website address:		n/a			
5. Is your technology plan posted on the district website?		127 out of 135 provided a valid website address			
6. How many schools are in your district and represented in this survey?		415 out of 475 (87%) schools represented			
Technology Access: Hardware					
All hardware questions (i.e., computers, building level. Please refer to the School					
Technology Access: Software					
 The state has developed software systems that are able to request data files from your local student database. Please indicate the name of the student information system (SIS) software used by your district to track student enrollment. (Check all that apply if you use more than one.) 	51 = MMS 20 = Power School 18 = WinSchool 16 = Mac School 13 = Rediker (e.g., Admin+, SchoolAdmin, Odyssey) 11 = Web2School 2 = SASI 2 = StarBase 11 = Other (custom made database, other, or none)				
8. What is the version number of the	primary SIS indicated	in question #7? n/a			
 Please indicate which, if any, data warehousing system is used by your district for data collection and analysis. (Check all that apply if you use more than one.) 	66 = We use our S 35 = Access or Exc 21 = iAnalyze 20 = FilemakerPro 13 = SWIS	IS software for this. el			

10. Which library automati system(s) do you use in district?		 9 = Quality School Portfolio (QSP) 7 = Other: EDsmart (3), NWEA (2), Performance Pathways, SchoolMaster 35 = None 44 = Sagebrush Spectrum (Winnebago) 36 = Follett 7 = Alexandria 5 = Chancery Library Pro 5 = Sagebrush Athena 3 = Horizon 2 = CASPR Library World 10 = Other, 1 each of: AutoLibrarian, Concorse, Cross Systems, Library Corp/Library Solutions, Millenium, PC Card Catalog, 	
		ReaderWare, Resource Mate, Right On Program, Sagebrush InfoCentre 26 = None	
11. Which Internet filtering mechanism(s) do you use in your district?	 48 = Sonic Wall 34 = WebSense 18 = Dan's Guardian (open source) 7 = Microsoft Proxy 2 = Our Internet Service Provider (ISP) does our filtering 32 = Other: 3Com, 8e6 Technologies R3000(3), Baracuda, Bascom, Burstek, CyberPatrol(3), Gateway Router(2), iAssist, Land Sweep, NetSpective, N2H2 Bess(3), Proxy Consultant, Secure School, SmartFilter(3), SquidGuard(2), St Bernard's iPrism(2), SurfControl(2), SurfWatch, VicomSoft Intergate, Watchguard(4), WebInspector 		
12. For how many days do y retain your Internet filte log files?	ering	54 = 0-7 days 31 = 8-30 days 16 = 31-90 days 7 = 91-365 days 6 = over 365 days 5 = We do not filter	
computerized adaptive assessment software program is used by any school in your district to assess individual student needs.28 = 26 = 21 = 18 = 8 = 6 = 13 =		 NWEA MAP Renaissance Lrng: Accelerated Reader Dibels Gates MacGinitie Renaissance Lrng: Accelerated Math Scholastic: Reading Counts Scholastic: Read 180 AGS Publishing Other: AIMSWeb, American Ed Corp A+, PremierAT, KTEA(3), Scholastic Reading Inventory(2), Success Maker, PLATO(3), Renaissance: Star Reader(3), Renaissance: Star Math(3), Renaissance: Fluent Reader(2), TerraNova, Waterford None 	
14. Please indicate which, if curriculum mapping so is used by any school in district.	oftware	 22 = TechPaths 17 = CurriculumMapper 16 = Locally developed with Access, Filemaker, etc. 1 = Other: Moodle 	

Technology Access – Connec	tivity and	Networks		
Teacher/staff access				
15. Is there a district policy or expected email as a primary school community will also be asked at the school le		97 = Yes 37 = No 1 = No res	ponse	
Connectivity				
16. What is the name of your district's Internet Service Provider ?	13 = Metro 10 = G4 Co 7 = TDS T 5 = NCIA 5 = NHVT 5 = PaeTe 5 = World Other: ATT, Ba Granite	ast ship / CTC / One (ocast ommunications elecom .net c Path yRing(4), Charter C State Telephone, Ip nications, Time War	able(2), Globa switch, Segne	al Crossing, et
17. What is the total committed, currently purchased bandwidth to your district?	4 = Dial-up or 56K access 79 = ISDN, DSL, broadband/cable, or fractional T1 51 = Full T1, ATM, or greater 1 = No response			
18. Please indicate which, if any, bandwidth tracking software is used by your district to track the amount of bandwidth used.	3 = ATT Reports 2 = Microsoft ISA 2004			
Technology Access - Service	& Support			
Please help us understand your distri	ct tech supp	ort model for har	dware mai	ntenance.
19. How many full time district IT s members do you have?	staff	12 = 1 Person av 40 = 1 Full Time 18 = 2 Full Time 13 = Between 2. 8 = Between 4 a 10 = Between 5 2 = Between 7 a 1 = 16 Full Time 31 = None	5 and 3.5 Fu nd 4.5 Full T and 6 Full Ti nd 9 Full Tin	ull Time Time Time
20. Are the majority of support service applications, and curriculum integrations.				92 = Yes 43 = No
21. If you have only one technology s position for the district and that p also serving the district in other c	erson is	Administrative A Assistant Princip Computer or Tec	al	cher (7)

what are those other positions (i.e.,			ucation Coordinator
Curriculum Director, Assistant Superintendent, etc.)?	Integration Specific Library Media Specific PD Center Direct Teacher (10) (A Vocational Direct)	pecialis tor (2) rt, Matl	t or Assistant (5) n, Other)
22. We have a contract (either full year or X i an IT company/individual for tech support.	number of days) with	35 = Yes 96 = No
23. We have a full time district level technology director/coordinator providing hardware maintenance.		74 = Yes 56 = No	
24. We have district tech support staff serving multiple school buildings for hardware maintenance.			77 = Yes 56 = No
Please help us understand your district tech supp	port model for ap	plicati	ons software.
25. We have a contract (either full year or X number of days) with an IT company/individual for applications support.			32 = Yes 99 = No
26. We have a full time district level technology staff position providing applications software support.			73 = Yes 60 = No
27. We have district tech support staff serving i buildings for applications software.	27. We have district tech support staff serving multiple school		
Please help us understand your district PD suppo	ort model for curr	iculum	n integration.
28. We have a contract (either full year or X in a Local Educational Support Center for curricul support. (see www.nheon.org/centers)	ulum integration		18 = Yes 116 = No
29. We have a contract (either full year or X number of days) with an individual or company to provide curriculum integration support.			12 = Yes 120 = No
30. We have a full time district level technology staff position providing curriculum integration support.		55 = Yes 78 = No	
31. We have district tech support staff serving multiple school buildings for curriculum integration support.			43 = Yes 90 = No
Technology Access – Budget			
32. Is the district considering purchasing addition over the next 12 – 18 months?	nal bandwidth	47 = Yes 84 = No	
33. During 2004-05, what was the approximate t local funds budgeted for the hardware, software, connectivity, and all tech support provided in (Do not include federal grant funds, eRa grants.)	ware, your district?	Total for 132 districts responding = \$18,935,681 Average = \$147,935 Median = \$71,663	
34. What is the district's locally budgeted amour software, connectivity, and all tech support for year 2005-06 (Do not include federal gra eRate, or other grants.)?	or the current	Total for 131 districts responding = \$20,704,320 Average = \$158,048 Median = \$81,000	
Technology Access – E-Rate The following questions refer to E-Rate app funding discounts in 2005-06.	lications submi	tted in	2004-05 for
35. Did your district apply in 2004-05 for plain old telephone service (POTS) discounts through the federal E-Rate program to be received in the current funding year?		92 = Yes 43 = No	
36. Did your district apply in 2004-05 for Intern	et access or inte	ernal	79 = Yes

networking discounts through received in the current funding y	the federal E-Rate program to be ear?	56 = No
37. If your district did not apply in 2004-05 to receive discounts through the federal E-Rate program for the current funding year, what were the main reasons?	 3 = We were unaware of the program 22 = We were aware of the program sufficiently trained staff to decent the application process. 23 = We were aware of the program apply for programmatic reason school and district discount lever eason. 2 = We were aware of the program due to multi-year contracts signate program filing schedules Form 470 or signed contract be filing window opened). 	h but did not have dicate to completing h and chose not to ns, such as our vels or other but are not eligible gned outside of E- (i.e., never filed
38. Do you intend to submit E-Rate a possible funding for the 2006-07		110 = Yes 25 = No